CHAPTER 1.4.2.

## GUIDELINES FOR IMPORT RISK ANALYSIS

Article 1.4.2.1.

#### Introduction

An import risk analysis begins with a description of the *commodity* proposed for import and the likely annual quantity of trade. It must be recognised that whilst an accurate estimate of the anticipated quantity of trade is desirable to incorporate into the risk estimate, it may not be readily available, particularly where such trade is new.

Hazard identification is an essential step that must be conducted before the risk assessment.

The *risk assessment* process consists of four interrelated steps. These steps clarify the stages of the *risk assessment*, describing them in terms of the events necessary for the identified potential *risk(s)* to occur, and facilitate understanding and evaluation of the conclusions (or 'outputs'). The product is the *risk assessment* report, which is used in *risk communication* and *risk management*.

The relationships between risk assessment and risk management processes are outlined in Figure 1.

教/多杉 **ASSESSMENT** Release assessment Exposure assessment REPORT Consequence assessment Risk estimation RISK MANAGEMENT Risk evaluation EVALUATION OF Option evaluation Competent Authority implementation Zoning and regionalisation Monitoring and review Surveillands and monitoring of equato enimat health

Fig. 1. The relationship between risk assessment and risk management processes

Article 1.4.2.2.

#### Hazard identification

Hazard identification involves identifying the pathogenic agents that could potentially produce adverse consequences associated with the importation of a commodity.

The *hazards* identified would be those appropriate to the species being imported, or from which the *commodity* is derived, and which may be present in the *exporting country*. It is then necessary to identify whether each *hazard* is already present in the *importing country*, and whether it is an *OIE-listed disease* or is subject to control or eradication in that country and to ensure that import measures are not more trade restrictive than those applied within the country.

Hazard identification is a categorisation step, identifying biological agents dichotomously as hazards or not hazards. The risk assessment should be concluded if hazard identification fails to identify hazards associated with the importation.

The evaluation of the *Competent Authorities*, surveillance and control programmes, and *zoning* and regionalisation systems are important inputs for assessing the likelihood of *hazards* being present in the *aquatic animal* population of the *exporting country*.

An *importing country* may decide to permit the importation using the appropriate sanitary standards recommended in the *Aquatic Code*, thus eliminating the need for a *risk assessment*.

Article 1.4.2.3.

### Principles of risk assessment

- 1. Risk assessment should be flexible in order to deal with the complexity of real-life situations. No single method is applicable in all cases. Risk assessment must be able to accommodate the variety of animal commodities, the multiple hazards that may be identified with an importation and the specificity of each disease, detection and surveillance systems, exposure scenarios and types and amounts of data and information.
- 2. Both *qualitative* and *quantitative* risk assessment methods are valid. Although quantitative analysis is recognised to provide deeper insights into a particular problem, qualitative methods may be more relevant when available data are limited as is often the case with aquatic species.
- 3. The *risk assessment* should be based on the best available information that is in accord with current scientific thinking. The assessment should be well documented and supported with references to the scientific literature and other sources, including expert opinion.
- 4. Consistency in *risk assessment* methods should be encouraged and *transparency* is essential in order to ensure fairness and rationality, consistency in decision making and ease of understanding by all the interested parties.
- 5. Risk assessments should document the uncertainties, the assumptions made, and the effect of these on the final risk estimate.
- 6. Risk increases with increasing volume of commodity imported.
- 7. The *risk assessment* should be amenable to updating when additional information becomes available.

#### Article 1.4.2.4.

#### Risk assessment steps

#### 1. Release assessment

Release assessment consists of describing the biological pathway(s) necessary for an importation activity to 'release' (that is, introduce) a *hazard* into a particular environment, and estimating the likelihood of that complete process occurring. The release assessment describes the likelihood of the 'release' of each of the *hazards* under each specified set of conditions with respect to amounts and timing, and how these might change as a result of various actions, events or measures. Examples of the kind of inputs that may be required in the release assessment are:

#### a) Biological factors

- Species, strain or genotype, and age of aquatic animal
- Strain of agent
- Tissue sites of infection and/or contamination
- Vaccination, testing, treatment and quarantine.

## b) Country factors

- Incidence/prevalence
- Evaluation of Competent Authorities, surveillance and control programmes, and zoning systems of the exporting country.

#### c) Commodity factors

- Whether the *commodity* is alive or dead
- Quantity of commodity to be imported
- Ease of contamination
- Effect of the various processing methods on the pathogenic agent in the *commodity*
- Effect of storage and transport on the pathogenic agent in the commodity.

If the release assessment demonstrates no significant *risk*, the *risk assessment* does not need to continue.

## 2. Exposure assessment

Exposure assessment consists of describing the biological pathway(s) necessary for exposure of humans and aquatic and terrestrial animals in the *importing country* to the *hazards* and estimating the likelihood of these exposure(s) occurring, and of the spread or establishment of the *hazard*.

The likelihood of exposure to the *hazards is* estimated for specified exposure conditions with respect to amounts, timing, frequency, duration of exposure, routes of exposure, and the

number, species and other characteristics of the human, *aquatic animal* or terrestrial animal populations exposed. Examples of the kind of inputs that may be required in the exposure assessment are:

## a) Biological factors

- Presence of potential vectors or intermediate hosts
- Genotype of host
- Properties of the agent (e.g. virulence, pathogenicity and survival parameters).

## b) Country factors

- Aquatic animal demographics (e.g. presence of known susceptible and carrier species, distribution)
- Human and terrestrial animal demographics (e.g. possibility of scavengers, presence of piscivorous birds)
- Customs and cultural practices
- Geographical and environmental characteristics (e.g. hydrographic data, temperature ranges, water courses).

## c) Commodity factors

- Whether the *commodity* is alive or dead
- Quantity of commodity to be imported
- Intended use of the imported aquatic animals or products (e.g. domestic consumption, restocking, incorporation in or use as aquaculture feed or bait)
- Waste disposal practices.

If the exposure assessment demonstrates no significant *risk*, the *risk assessment* should conclude at this step.

## 3. Consequence assessment

Consequence assessment consists of identifying the potential biological, environmental and economic consequences. A causal process must exist by which exposures to a *hazard* result in adverse health, environmental or socio-economic consequences. Examples of consequences include:

#### a) Direct consequences

- Aquatic animal infection, disease, production losses and facility closures
- Adverse, and possibly irreversible, consequences to the environment
- Public health consequences.

# b) Indirect consequences

- Surveillance and control costs
- Compensation costs
- Potential trade losses.
- Adverse consumer reaction.

#### 4. Risk estimation

Risk estimation consists of integrating the results of the release assessment, exposure assessment, and consequence assessment to produce overall measures of *risks* associated with the *hazards* identified at the outset. Thus risk estimation takes into account the whole of the *risk* pathway from *hazard* identified to unwanted outcome.

For a quantitative assessment, the final outputs may include:

- The various populations of aquatic animals and/or estimated numbers of aquaculture establishments or people likely to experience health impacts of various degrees of severity over time
- Probability distributions, confidence intervals, and other means for expressing the uncertainties in these estimates
- Portrayal of the variance of all model inputs
- A sensitivity analysis to rank the inputs as to their contribution to the variance of the risk estimation output
- Analysis of the dependence and correlation between model inputs.

Article 1.4.2.5.

## Principles of risk management

- 1. Risk management is the process of deciding upon and implementing measures to achieve the Member's appropriate level of protection, whilst at the same time ensuring that negative effects on trade are minimised. The objective is to manage risk appropriately to ensure that a balance is achieved between a country's desire to minimise the likelihood or frequency of disease incursions and their consequences and its desire to import commodities and fulfil its obligations under international trade agreements.
- 2. The international standards of the OIE are the preferred choice of *sanitary measures* for *risk management*. The application of these *sanitary measures* should be in accordance with the intentions of the standards or other recommendations of the SPS Agreement.

#### Article 1.4.2.6.

#### Risk management components

- 1. Risk evaluation the process of comparing the *risk* estimated in the *risk assessment* with the Member's appropriate level of protection.
- 2. Option evaluation the process of identifying, evaluating the efficacy and feasibility of, and selecting measures to reduce the *risk* associated with an importation in line with the Member's appropriate level of protection. The efficacy is the degree to which an option reduces the likelihood and/or magnitude of adverse health and economic consequences. Evaluating the efficacy of the options selected is an iterative process that involves their incorporation into the *risk assessment* and then comparing the resulting level of risk with that considered acceptable. The evaluation for feasibility normally focuses on technical, operational and economic factors affecting the implementation of the *risk management* options.
- 3. Implementation the process of following through with the *risk management* decision and ensuring that the *risk management* measures are in place.
- 4. Monitoring and review the ongoing process by which the *risk management* measures are continuously audited to ensure that they are achieving the results intended.

Article 1.4.2.7.

## Principles of risk communication

- 1. Risk communication is the process by which information and opinions regarding hazards and risks are gathered from potentially affected and interested parties during a risk analysis, and by which the results of the risk assessment and proposed risk management measures are communicated to the decision makers and interested parties in the importing and exporting countries. It is a multidimensional and iterative process and should ideally begin at the start of the risk analysis process and continue throughout.
- 2. A risk communication strategy should be put in place at the start of each risk analysis.
- 3. The *communication of risk* should be an open, interactive, iterative and transparent exchange of information that may continue after the decision on importation.
- 4. The principal participants in *risk communication* include the authorities in the *exporting country* and other stakeholders such as domestic aquaculturists, recreational and commercial fishermen, conservation and wildlife groups, consumer groups, and domestic and foreign industry groups.
- 5. The assumptions and *uncertainty* in the model, model inputs and the risk estimates of the *risk* assessment should be communicated.
- 6. Peer review of *risk analyses* is an essential component of *risk communication* for obtaining a scientific critique aimed at ensuring that the data, information, methods and assumptions are the best available.